G. R. S. 59]

R

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1901-02

(97)

File No. 97

Serial Nos. 142

Papers Filed.

P 12
C 1

*Month*_____

SUBJECT.

Sanctions a refund bill for Rs 4.3
in favour of Patil Puttappa of
Yerkal Gumachalli, Hunnalli Tq
Commissioner L R

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.

Proceed
by

1

Note.

Veerappa bin manjappa applied on 6-4-01 for survey No 66 of nidumahalli village, Hanavali taluk, measuring 5 acres + 13 guntas assessed and ~~assd~~ at Rs 13 for dry cultivation. It was put up for ~~maki~~ sale on 22nd may 01. The highest bid offered being 4 annas, the sale was not confirmed and the amildar ('Chinnappan Pillai') ordered a 2nd sale to be held on 23rd July 01. Patil Puttappa the highest bidder in this sale offered Rs 43. On the 26th of July 1901 another applicant named Chennappa petitioned to the amildar that he was not aware of the sale held on the above

x Serial No 1 of the Ver file.

x Serial No 3/4 of do

x Serial No 3/2 of do

x Serial No 9 of do

x

Sale

date and that he was willing to go in for the land for 50 rupees. A sale for the 3rd time was ordered by the said Amildar. In this sale held on 25-10-01 × 24-10-01. the Peon Chennappa did not turn up & no body offered a higher sum than Rs 43 offered in the 2nd sale. Thereupon the Amildar confirmed on 28-11-01, the 2nd sale × for Rs 43 in the name of Puttappa. This individual was on 31-12-01 asked to take a Sagavali chit for the land, but he refused to comply with it, on the ground that the cultivation season was over. He further requested the Amildar that the sale might be cancelled & the purchase money paid by him & credited

× Sl no 3/4 of the file.

× the however appeared before the Amildar on 10-2-02 & refused to take up the land as cultivation season was over

× Please see on the margin of Sl no 3/4 of the file

× Vide Sl no 1/4 of the Vill. papers.

to the Treasury in the meantime, was funded to him.

The Amildar responding the above circumstances to the Dy. Commr, in his Tahilla No 686 of 21-1-02, requested him to cancel the sale & to direct the refund to Puttappa of the amount of Rs 3. paid by him & credited to the Treasury. The Deputy Commr, finding that the Amildar's procedure was irregular all through, remarked that such things should not occur in future. He further cancelled the sale & directed the refund of the amount to Puttappa. The refund bill is accordingly submitted for the sanction of Govt.

It may be noted that the Amildar of the Taluk having

× Sl No 1/4 of the Ven. papers

× Sl No 1/5 of do

Dy Commrs letter No 1150/994 of 20.6.02

the period in which the
above irregularities
occurred was J. A. Chinnappa
Pandaram Pillai.

Submitted
to the Secretary,
via with a draft
26/7/02 VL 26/8/02

To the Dewan
through the Vakil
Counsellor

Most of the note is superfluous as the matter is embodied in the draft.

4/9
VL 3/9/02

R. 3/9/02
426

4/9/1902

5/9

Submitted to the Dewan VL 8/9/02

R. Nagam 9.9.02
5/13

7037
Bgl Agt

I

C/664

1901-02

Section 97

No. 97 of 1901

1

From K. P. Puttanna Chetty Esq
Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

To H. V. Nanjundayya Esq. M.A., M.L.
Secretary to Government
Genl & Rev. Depts.

Dated 20th June 1902.

Despatched

Received 26/6/02

General No. 1180

No. 994

Enclosure No. 1 & Cancelled

Forward for sanction a refund bill for Rs 43 in favour of Patel Puttappa of Yeraganahalli.

Section 7L

1

2

23.6.02

No. 1180 Rev & Cus file
994

Deputy Commissioner's office
Shimoga District
Shimoga 20th June 1902.

From K. P. Puttanna Chetty Esq.
Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

To H. V. Nanjundayya Esq. M.A. M.L.
Secretary to Government
General & Revenue Depts.

Sir,

I have the honour to submit herewith for sanction of Government a refund bill for Rs 43 on behalf of Patel Puttappa of Yeraganahalu village, Honnali Taluk.

2. Survey No. 66 of Bidarahalli village of that Taluk was applied for for cultivation and it was put up for Mulki sale on 22d May 1901. The highest bid offered being only 4 annas, the sale was not confirmed and the Amildar ordered a second sale. In this sale

which was held on 23d July 1901, Patel Puttappa's bid was the highest, being for Rs 43-4-4 and the Shekdar reported the fact to the Amildar. One chennappa having petitioned that he was willing to purchase the land in question for Rs50. the Amildar ordered a 3d sale. In that sale on 24th October 1901 which the petitioner did not attend, nobody bid higher than Rs 43 offered in the 2d sale. Thereupon the Amildar confirmed the 2d sale for 43Rs in the name of Puttappa. When the saguvalli chit was presented to him on 13st December 01, he declined to receive it as the cultivating season was over and prayed that the sale might be cancelled and the purchase money returned.

3. Under the circumstances it has been ordered that the sale should be cancelled and the money paid by

Puttappa and credited to Government before the issue of the Saguvalli chit should be refunded.

4. The ordering of the 3d sale and the subsequent action by the Amildar (Chinnappaiya Pillai) was highly irregular and this has been pointed out to him.

5. The Kannada correspondence on the subject ending with the 2d Assistant Commissioner's Yadi No 427 dated 15th April 1902 is herewith submitted for reference and kind return.

I have the honour to be

Sir

Your most obedient Servant

W Nettamanickoff

Deputy Commissioner

Shimoga District

JPS

n — G/7
No — 97 of 01
rial No. 2
D

No. H. 423 12.9.02
Petr P. 12
Int. in a no
to Consd
proceedings
Grade Dy C
attached
State - mention
if

Sir Answer
12/7/02

M.K.
15/7 Submitted
mm
26/7/02

5
Read,

Letter [redacted]
the 20th June 02, [redacted]
Dy. Commr., Shimoga [redacted]

Orders thereon.

Veerappa bin Ramiyappa applied on 6-4-01 for S. no 66 of Bidarahalli village, Honnali Taluk, measuring 5a-13g & assessed at Rs 13, for cultivation. It was put up for sale on 22nd May 01. The highest bid opened being only four annas, the sale was not confirmed & the Amildar (Chinnakpaven Pillé) ordered a 2nd sale to be held on 23rd July 01. Patel Puttappa, the highest bidder



...ly,
...plicant
...ennappa,
...ed to the
...ildar ~~that~~ expressing
his willingness to go in
for the land for Rs 50.
A sale for the third
time was ordered by
the Amildar. In this
sale held on 24th Oct
1901, the petn did not
attend & no body offered
a higher sum than
Rs 43 offered in the
2nd sale. Thereupon (on 28th Nov 01,
the Amildar confirmed
the 2nd sale for Rs 43
in the name of Puttappa.
~~As some time was lost~~
~~before he practising~~
~~the~~ when the Inyanali Chit (the ~~latter individual~~ was issued on 31.12.0

Puttappa

declined to accept it, ~~the~~ ~~exhibit~~ on the ground that the ~~the~~ subscription season was over and requested that the sale might be cancelled & the purchase money credited to the Treasury in the meantime, he ~~refund~~ ~~ed~~ returned to him ~~Honble~~ The Dy. Commr has cancelled the sale ~~has~~ submitted a refund bill ~~in favour of the Crown~~ for Rs 43, which is sanctioned and forwarded to the Comptroller ~~my sole~~ for audit.

2. ~~It is also~~ In connection with the above, it is observed that the Amildars

2. As provided ~~not~~ by the Govt, the procedure adopted by the Amildar was

section in having 5/ quite
& permitted a 3rd reading, as open to ob-
jection.

1079

VI
26/8/02

Secy:-

Yo, The Dy-Comm[r],
Shimoga Attd reqg
Ver: papers.

11 The Comptroller,
may see Govt with
the ~~of~~ bill sanctioned.

Mr
7/7/02

Mr
9.IX.02

Mr
11/9/02